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## Who am I?

- Student at Radboud University Nijmegen
- Bachelor in Chemistry
- Currently studying Cyber Security
- On a regular day I implement elliptic curve crypto ${ }^{1}$

The others:

- Peter Schwabe ${ }^{2}$ (@cryptojedi)
- Sean Moss-Pultz ${ }^{3}$ (@moskovich)
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## "Don't roll your own crypto"

## "Don't roll your own crypto"

"and also don't implement your own crypto"

## Outline

## Part I: Crypto theory

What is secret sharing?
How does it work?

Part II: Crypto implementation
How to encode our values
Solving integrity
Side channel resistance
Performance and bitslicing

## Outline

## HOW HARD IS MY TALK?



## Part I: crypto theory

## Problem statement

- How to backup your secrets (wallet keys, passwords, etc.)?
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## Problem statement

- How to backup your secrets (wallet keys, passwords, etc.)?
- Need to trust a single entity
- How to split up our trust?


## Solving our problem

1. Cut my key into pieces

Secret message $m=A\|B\| C$.
Distribute $A, B, C$.
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## Solving our problem

1. Cut my key into pieces

Secret message $m=A\|B\| C$.
Distribute $A, B, C$.
Bad security!
2. Use one-time-pad construction?

Generate random $A, B$
Choose $C=m \oplus A \oplus B$.
Restore by computing $m^{\prime}=A \oplus B \oplus C=m$

## Solving our problem

1. Cut my key into pieces

Secret message $m=A\|B\| C$.
Distribute $A, B, C$.
Bad security!
2. Use one-time-pad construction?

Generate random $A, B$
Choose $C=m \oplus A \oplus B$.
Restore by computing $m^{\prime}=A \oplus B \oplus C=m$
Needs all pieces to restore the secret

## A better solution

## Shamir secret sharing

- Published almost 40 years ago by Adi Shamir
- Threshold scheme ( $n, k$ )
- "Provably secure"


## A better solution

## Shamir secret sharing

- Published almost 40 years ago by Adi Shamir
- Threshold scheme ( $n, k$ )
- "Provably secure" Information-theoretically secure
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## How does the math work?

Given parameters $(n, k)$ and message $m$ :
Construct a polynomial of degree $k-1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(x)=a_{k-1} x^{k-1}+\ldots+a_{1} x+\boldsymbol{m} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

With coefficients $a_{i}$ randomly generated.

## How does the math work?

Given parameters $(n, k)$ and message $m$ :
Construct a polynomial of degree $k-1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(x)=a_{k-1} x^{k-1}+\ldots+a_{1} x+\boldsymbol{m} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

With coefficients $a_{i}$ randomly generated.

Evaluate $n$ points on the polynomial to get shares $s_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{1}=(1, p(1)) \\
& s_{2}=(2, p(2)) \\
& \vdots \\
& s_{n}=(n, p(n))
\end{aligned}
$$

## How does the math work?

Find $p(x)=a_{k-1} x^{k-1}+\ldots+a_{1} x+m$ such that all $s_{i}$ are on $p(x)$.
Solve for $m$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{k-1} x_{1}^{k-1}+\ldots+a_{1} x_{1}+m=y_{1} \\
& a_{k-1} x_{2}^{k-1}+\ldots+a_{1} x_{2}+m=y_{2} \\
& a_{k-1} x_{3}^{k-1}+\ldots+a_{1} x_{3}+m=y_{3} \\
& \ldots \\
& a_{k-1} x_{k}^{k-1}+\ldots+a_{1} x_{k}+m=y_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

## How does the math work?

Find $p(x)=a_{k-1} x^{k-1}+\ldots+a_{1} x+m$ such that all $s_{i}$ are on $p(x)$.
Solve for $m$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{k-1} x_{1}^{k-1}+\ldots+a_{1} x_{1}+m=y_{1} \\
& a_{k-1} x_{2}^{k-1}+\ldots+a_{1} x_{2}+m=y_{2} \\
& a_{k-1} x_{3}^{k-1}+\ldots+a_{1} x_{3}+m=y_{3} \\
& \ldots \\
& a_{k-1} x_{k}^{k-1}+\ldots+a_{1} x_{k}+m=y_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Use Lagrange interpolation for solving







## Example: combining shares

$$
s_{1}=(1,21), s_{3}=(4,6), s_{4}=(2,8)
$$

Solve for $m$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{2} x_{1}^{2}+a_{1} x_{1}+m=y_{1} \\
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& a_{2} x_{3}^{2}+a_{1} x_{3}+m=y_{3}
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## Example: combining shares

$$
s_{1}=(1,21), s_{3}=(4,6), s_{4}=(2,8)
$$

Solve for $m$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1^{2} a_{2}+a_{1}+m=21 \\
& 4^{2} a_{2}+4 a_{1}+m=6 \\
& 2^{2} a_{2}+2 a_{1}+m=8
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example: combining shares

$$
s_{1}=(1,21), s_{3}=(4,6), s_{4}=(2,8)
$$

Solve for $m$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
1^{2} a_{2}+a_{1}+m=21 \\
4^{2} a_{2}+4 a_{1}+m=6 \\
2^{2} a_{2}+2 a_{1}+m=8 \\
m=42
\end{gathered}
$$

## All good?

## All good?

- Information-theoretically secure


## All good?

- Information-theoretically secure for confidentiality
- Not really secure for integrity








## Solving integrity

## Solutions:

- Randomize $x$-values
- Only share random secrets


# Part II: implementation 
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Bitmark Inc. asks us for a Shamir secret sharing library.
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- Side channel resistant (timing, cache-timing)
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## Requirements

Bitmark Inc. asks us for a Shamir secret sharing library.

- Secure for integrity ( $\geq 128$ bits)
- Side channel resistant (timing, cache-timing)
- Portable to any platform

Existing libraries:

- ssss
- gfshare

Both do not meet our requirements

## Implementation challenges

On to implement it ourselves...

1. How to encode our values?
2. How to fix our integrity problem?
3. How to prevent side channels?
4. How to make it fast?

## 1. How to encode our values?

## Options:

- Integers modulo large prime?
- Other finite field?
${ }^{1}$ For the maths people, we are using $\mathbb{F}_{2}[x] /\left(x^{8}+x^{4}+x^{3}+x+1\right)$


## 1. How to encode our values?

Options:

- Integers modulo large prime?
- Other finite field?

Piece up the secret in bytes and map them to $\mathbb{F}_{2^{8}}\left(\right.$ note $\left.^{1}\right)$

- Fast arithmetic
- Can secret-share every byte independently

[^1]
## 2. Solving integrity

Use hybrid encryption:


## 2. Solving integrity

Use hybrid encryption:


## 3. How to prevent side channel attacks?

Rules to protect against side channels ${ }^{2}$ :

1. No branching (if, \&\&, ||, etc.)
${ }^{2}$ In software! Hardware implementations are a whole other story.
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## 3. How to prevent side channel attacks?

Rules to protect against side channels ${ }^{2}$ :

1. No branching (if, \&\&, ||, etc.)
2. No secret-dependent lookups (y = table[key[i]];)
3. No variable-time instructions (div, mul [2], etc.)
${ }^{2}$ In software! Hardware implementations are a whole other story.

## 4. Performance throug bitslicing



## 4. Performance throug bitslicing

- Working in bytes $\Rightarrow$ need only 8 registers per byte
- Implement algorithm in logic circuits
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## 4. Performance throug bitslicing

- Working in bytes $\Rightarrow$ need only 8 registers per byte
- Implement algorithm in logic circuits
- 32-bit platform? $32 \times$ parallel computation $=$ performance :)
- Scales to 64 -bit, avx $\{, 2,512\}$, etc. :)


## Overview



## Overview



## Implementation performance

Measuring wall clock time ${ }^{3}$ with $(n, k)=(5,4)$

| language | create | combine |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Tight C loop | $9.6 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ | $12 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ |
| Go bindings | $11 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ | $15 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ |
| Rust bindings | $8.8 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ | $5.4 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ |
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## Implementation performance

Measuring wall clock time ${ }^{3}$ with $(n, k)=(5,4)$

| language | create | combine |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Tight C loop | $9.6 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ | $12 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ |
| Go bindings | $11 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ | $15 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ |
| Rust bindings | $8.8 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ | $5.4 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ |

Conclusion: I.e. roughly 100000 calls per second.
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## Stuff that can go wrong

## Possible mistakes:

- Assuming integrity
- Timing attacks
- Bad randomness


## Ethics

## Ethics

## Can our software be used with malicious intent?

## Demo

## "Don't implement your own crypto"
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## Thank you!

Slides can be found at https://dsprenkels.com/files/sss-34c3.pdf sss project is at https://github.com/dsprenkels/sss

Extra reading:

- http://loup-vaillant.fr/articles/implemented-my-own-crypto
- https://dsprenkels.com/mysterion.html

Find me through

- Email: hello@dsprenkels.com
- PGP key: 951D 6F6E C19E 5D87 1A61 A7F4 1445 C075 FFD5 68CD
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## Example: combining shares (computation)

$$
s_{1}=(1,21), s_{3}=(4,6), s_{4}=(2,8)
$$

Solve for $m$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{2} x_{1}^{2}+a_{1} x_{1}+m=y_{1} \\
& a_{2} x_{2}^{2}+a_{1} x_{2}+m=y_{2} \\
& a_{2} x_{3}^{2}+a_{1} x_{3}+m=y_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example: combining shares (computation)

$$
s_{1}=(1,21), s_{3}=(4,6), s_{4}=(2,8)
$$

Solve for $m$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1^{2} a_{2}+a_{1}+m=21 \\
& 4^{2} a_{2}+4 a_{1}+m=6 \\
& 2^{2} a_{2}+2 a_{1}+m=8
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example: combining shares (computation)

$$
s_{1}=(1,21), s_{3}=(4,6), s_{4}=(2,8)
$$

Solve for $m$ :

$$
\begin{array}{r}
a_{2}+a_{1}+m=21 \\
16 a_{2}+4 a_{1}+m=6 \\
4 a_{2}+2 a_{1}+m=8
\end{array}
$$

## Example: combining shares (computation)

$$
s_{1}=(1,21), s_{3}=(4,6), s_{4}=(2,8)
$$

Solve for $m$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
4 a_{2}+4 a_{1}+4 m & =84 \\
16 a_{2}+4 a_{1}+m & =6 \\
4 a_{2}+2 a_{1}+m & =8
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example: combining shares (computation)

$$
s_{1}=(1,21), s_{3}=(4,6), s_{4}=(2,8)
$$

Solve for $m$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 a_{1}+3 m & =76 \\
16 a_{2}+4 a_{1}+m & =6 \\
4 a_{2}+2 a_{1}+m & =8
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example: combining shares (computation)

$$
s_{1}=(1,21), s_{3}=(4,6), s_{4}=(2,8)
$$

Solve for $m$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 a_{1}+3 m & =76 \\
16 a_{2}+4 a_{1}+m & =6 \\
16 a_{2}+8 a_{1}+4 m & =32
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example: combining shares (computation)

$$
s_{1}=(1,21), s_{3}=(4,6), s_{4}=(2,8)
$$

Solve for $m$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 a_{1}+3 m & =76 \\
16 a_{2}+4 a_{1}+m & =6 \\
4 a_{1}+3 m & =26
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example: combining shares (computation)

$$
s_{1}=(1,21), s_{3}=(4,6), s_{4}=(2,8)
$$

Solve for $m$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 a_{1}+3 m=76 \\
& 4 a_{1}+3 m=26
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example: combining shares (computation)

$$
s_{1}=(1,21), s_{3}=(4,6), s_{4}=(2,8)
$$

Solve for $m$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 a_{1}+6 m=152 \\
& 4 a_{1}+3 m=26
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example: combining shares (computation)

$$
s_{1}=(1,21), s_{3}=(4,6), s_{4}=(2,8)
$$

Solve for $m$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
3 m & =126 \\
4 a_{1}+3 m & =26
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example: combining shares (computation)

$$
s_{1}=(1,21), s_{3}=(4,6), s_{4}=(2,8)
$$

Solve for $m$ :

$$
3 m=126
$$

## Example: combining shares (computation)

$$
s_{1}=(1,21), s_{3}=(4,6), s_{4}=(2,8)
$$

Solved for $m$ :

$$
m=42
$$

## Lagrange interpolation

Given shares $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{k}, y_{k}\right)$.
Use Lagrange interpolation to get $m$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ell_{i}(x)=\prod_{j \neq i} \frac{x-x_{j}}{x_{i}-x_{j}}=\frac{\left(x-x_{1}\right)}{\left(x_{i}-x_{1}\right)} \cdots \frac{\left(x-x_{k}\right)}{\left(x_{i}-x_{k}\right)}  \tag{2}\\
& L(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{k} y_{i} \ell_{i}(x)=y_{1} \ell_{1}(x)+\ldots+y_{k} \ell_{k}(x) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

## Lagrange interpolation

Given shares $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{k}, y_{k}\right)$.
Use Lagrange interpolation to get $m$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\ell_{i}(x)=\prod_{j \neq i} \frac{x-x_{j}}{x_{i}-x_{j}}=\frac{\left(x-x_{1}\right)}{\left(x_{i}-x_{1}\right)} \cdots \frac{\left(x-x_{k}\right)}{\left(x_{i}-x_{k}\right)}  \tag{2}\\
m=L(0)=\sum_{i=0}^{k} y_{i} \ell_{i}(0)=y_{1} \ell_{1}(0)+\ldots+y_{k} \ell_{k}(0) \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

## Lagrange interpolation

Given shares $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{k}, y_{k}\right)$.
Use Lagrange interpolation to get $m$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\ell_{i}(0)=\prod_{j \neq i} \frac{0-x_{j}}{x_{i}-x_{j}}=\frac{\left(0-x_{1}\right)}{\left(x_{i}-x_{1}\right)} \cdots \frac{\left(0-x_{k}\right)}{\left(x_{i}-x_{k}\right)}  \tag{2}\\
m=L(0)=\sum_{i=0}^{k} y_{i} \ell_{i}(0)=y_{1} \ell_{1}(0)+\ldots+y_{k} \ell_{k}(0) \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

## Lagrange interpolation

Given shares $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{k}, y_{k}\right)$.
Use Lagrange interpolation to get $m$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\ell_{i}=\prod_{j \neq i} \frac{-x_{j}}{x_{i}-x_{j}}=\frac{\left(-x_{1}\right)}{\left(x_{i}-x_{1}\right)} \cdots \frac{\left(-x_{k}\right)}{\left(x_{i}-x_{k}\right)}  \tag{2}\\
m=\sum_{i=0}^{k} y_{i} \ell_{i}=y_{1} \ell_{1}+\ldots+y_{k} \ell_{k} \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The meaning of "crypto" is cryptography, not cryptocurrency!
    ${ }^{2}$ Radboud University
    ${ }^{3}$ Bitmark Inc. (https://bitmark.com)

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ For the maths people, we are using $\mathbb{F}_{2}[x] /\left(x^{8}+x^{4}+x^{3}+x+1\right)$

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Wall clock time, best of three on my crappy laptop

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ Wall clock time, best of three on my crappy laptop

